IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2456 OF 2006

M/s.Rameshwar Corporation ... Petitioners
V/s.
1. State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents

Mr.V.M.Vaghela for the petitioners.

Mr.Milind More, AGP for the respondent no.1.

Mr.C.D. Utangale for the respondent no.2.

Mr.V.B.Ghorpade for the respondent no.3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED 19th September, 2006

P.C.

It is the case of the petitioners that respondent no.3 had entered into a contract with them. The letter addressed by the counsel for the respondent no.3 to the petitioners indicates that the contract between the petitioners and respondent no.3 has been terminated. It is pointed out to us that when this Court passed the order in Writ Petition No.1019/2006 on 27.4.2006 the society had not brought to our attention the fact that there was subsisting contract between the respondent no.3 and the petitioners. We are not inclined to go into this aspect at this stage. Suffice it to say that it will be open to the petitioners to make an application to respondent no.2.

The respondent no.2 after hearing respondent no.3, the petitioners and M/s.Shelatkar Constructions Private Limited, who are not parties before us to take a decision on the application at any rate not later than eight weeks from today.

2. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]